UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,791	09/08/2003	Kia Silverbrook	BAL52US	8951

24011   7590   03/09/2010
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD
393 DARLING STREET
BALMAIN, 2041
AUSTRALIA

EXAMINER
MENBERU, BENIYAM

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2625	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
03/09/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

pair@silverbrookresearch.com
patentdept@silverbrookresearch.com
uscorro@silverbrookresearch.com

-- *The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address* --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE *3* MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *30 November 2009*.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-3 and 7-11* is/are pending in the application.

     4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-3 and 7-11* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

     a)☐ All   b)☐ Some *   c)☒ None of:

         1.☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

         2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

         3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

     * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
     Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
     Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed November 30, 2009, with respect to the

rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-3 under XP-002353310, "VLIW Processor Architecture

Adapted to FPAs" to Petit et al in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US

2001/0015760 A1 to Fellegara et al further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4949189 to

Ohmori further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5875034 to Shintani et al further in view of JP

06-103358 to Yamaki et al have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore,

the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new

ground(s) of rejection is made in view of claims 1 and 7 of U.S. Patent No. 7483053 to

Silverbrook and in view of U.S. Patent No. 5852502 to Beckett.

Double Patenting

1.   The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created
doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the
unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent
and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees.   A nonstatutory
obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims
are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct
from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated
by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140
F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29
USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir.
1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422
F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163
USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).
        A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d)
may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory
double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to

be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2.   Claims 1, 2, and 7 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 7 of U.S. Patent No. 7483053 to Silverbrook in view of XP-002353310, "VLIW Processor Architecture Adapted to FPAs" to Petit et al further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5852502 to Beckett.

Regarding claim 1, Silverbrook '053 discloses an image sensing and printing digital camera device (see claim 1, preamble, line 1; Silverbrook '053 discloses "**a combined camera and a printer**" in contrast to an image sensing and printing digital camera as claimed but it is obvious that the camera can be digital one since in claim 1, the device has a "central processor" and the camera can be an image sensing device.) comprising:

a housing defining a slot for receiving a printed instruction card having printed thereon an array of dots representing a programming script (see claim 1, lines 15-16 wherein Silverbrook '053 discloses "a card reader housed in the support structure and connected to the central processor, the card reader being configured to read coded data off a card" in contrast to a **housing defining a slot** as claimed but it is obvious that the card reader has to have a slot as claimed so that the card can be inserted for reading since the card housing is inside the structure; see claim 7, lines 1-4 ; Silverbrook '053 discloses wherein the card reader reads code from the card having "two-dimensional

code defined by an array of dots" in contrast to a "**printed instruction card having**

thereon an array of dots" as claimed but it is obvious that the array of dots has to be

printed on the card; see claim 1, lines 18-20 wherein Silverbrook '053 discloses that the

coded data from card is used for generating "processing instructions to the image data"

and claim 7 discloses "image processing script" defined in array of dots which is in

contrast to a "programming script" as claimed but it is obvious that a processing

instruction can be a programming instruction in a device.);

an area image sensor positioned on the housing for sensing a viewed image and for

generating pixel data representing the viewed image (see claim 1, lines 5-10;

Silverbrook '053 discloses "to capture an image" using "view finder" in contrast to

sensing a viewed image but it is obvious that the "view finder" is used for viewing image

and the capturing of image can be sensing of an image. Silverbrook '053 discloses that

the "captured image" is processed by the central processor in contrast to pixel data but

it is obvious that the "captured image" is pixel data since it is being processed by the

central processor.);

a linear image sensor for scanning the printed instruction card and converting the array

of dots into a data signal (see claim 1, lines 15-17; claim 7; Silverbrook '053 discloses a

card reader in contrast to the "linear image sensor for scanning" but it is obvious that a

card reader can be a linear sensor since the array of dots define a linear data.

Silverbrook '053 discloses that the "coded data" from the card is used to define "image

processing instructions" for the image in contrast to the conversion of the array of dots

into data signal but it is obvious that the coded data in Silverbrook '053 is data

converted from reading data on the card therefore defining the "data signal" as claimed.

);

a printing mechanism arranged on the housing (see claim 1, lines 11-13; Silverbrook

'053 discloses "printer assembly:" in contrast to "printing mechanism" as claimed but it is

obvious an assembly defines a mechanism.);

integrating a processor, an area image sensor interface connected to the processor, a

linear image sensor interface, and a printhead interface connected to the processor

(see claim 1, lines 2-16; Silverbrook '053 discloses a "housing" for the central

processor, area image sensor, card reader, and printer assembly in contrast to

"integrating" as claimed but it is obvious that the housing defines the integration of the

different components. Silverbrook '053 discloses that "central processor being

connected to the area image sensor" in contrast to an area image sensor interface but it

is obvious that the connection defines an interface. Further the card reader is connected

to the central processor which obviously defines a linear image sensor interface as

claimed since the card reader is the linear image sensor. Further Silverbrook '053

defines a "printer assembly connected to the central processor" in contrast to a

printhead interface connected to the processor but it is obvious that a printer assembly

provides a printhead for printing image data and the connection provides an interface;),

and receiving the pixel data and the data signal, to effect communication of the pixel

data and the data signal thereto (see claim 1, lines 8-10, 15-20; Silverbrook '053

discloses central processor receiving "captured image" and "coded data" from card

reader in contrast to receiving pixel data and data signal but it is obvious the captured

image defines the pixel data corresponding to image data and the coded data defines

the data signal used for image processing. The receiving operation defines the

communication of data.), wherein decodes the data signal into the programming script

and executes the programming script represented by the array of dots on the pixel data

(see claim 1, lines 17-20; claim 7; Silverbrook '053 discloses that the coded data defines

"image processing instructions" wherein the array of dots provide "image processing

script" in contrast to decoding of the data signal into programming script as claimed. It is

obvious that the coded data (data signal) from the array of dots has to be decoded to

define the processing instructions (programming instructions) wherein the array of dots

provide the script.).

However Silverbrook '053 does not disclose wherein a VLIW processor is

integrated on a one chip microcontroller provided in the housing.

Petit et al '310 discloses wherein a VLIW processor is integrated on a one chip

microcontroller provided in the housing (Figure 1, CMOS image sensor; Introduction

second paragraph; Figure 1, shows one-chip microcontroller; see Abstract; Introduction

first paragraph; Figure 1, shows VLIW processor integrated on the one-chip;

Introduction sixth paragraph; The dashed lines in Figure 1 defines the housing for the

one-chip device; Figure 1, shows interface between CMOS sensor and ADC (analog to

digital converter); Introduction seventh paragraph).

Having the system of *Silverbrook '053* and then given the well-established

teaching of *Petit et al '310*, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of *Silverbrook '053* as

taught by *Petit et al '310*, since *Petit et al '310* stated in Abstract and first and fourth paragraph of the Introduction, such a modification would provide an efficient and productive processor using a VLIW type processor.

However Silverbrook '053 does not disclose wherein the receiving of the pixel data and data signal is by an input buffer connected to both the area image sensor interface and the linear image sensor interface and wherein the input buffer being further connected to the processor.

Beckett '502 discloses wherein the receiving of the pixel data and data signal (column 6, lines 38-55; the color and monochrome pixel defines the pixel data and data signal) is by an input buffer connected to both the area image sensor interface and the linear image sensor interface and wherein the input buffer being further connected to the processor (Figure 1 shows a frame buffer 38 (input buffer) which is connected to a color sensor 24 and a monochrome sensor 22; column 4, lines 10-16, 36-42; connecting lines 36 and 34 defines the interface for the color sensor 24 and monochrome sensor 22 respectively. Further buffer 38 is connected to a processor 44; column 5, lines 17-24; column 7, lines 17-22; column 8, lines 17-22; Further the processor 44 can be defined in terms of a CPU. column 5, lines 44-56; since the color sensor and monochrome sensor are two-dimensional arrays of pixel they both can be defined as an area sensor and a linear sensor. So either of the color sensor 24 or monochrome sensor 22 can be a area image sensor or linear image sensor.).

Having the system of *Silverbrook '053* and then given the well-established teaching of *Beckett '502*, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

the time of the invention was made to modify the system of *Silverbrook '053* as taught

by *Beckett '502*, since *Beckett '502* stated in col. 4, lines 50-64; col. 7, lines 11-16,

such a modification would provide a temporary holding place for image data before

processing.

Regarding claim 2, Further Beckett '502 discloses a device as claimed in claim 1,

wherein the area image sensor is one of a charge coupled device and an active pixel

sensor (column 4, lines 10-15).

Regarding claim 7, Further Yamaki et al '358 discloses a device as claimed in

claim 1, wherein the array of dots is a two-dimensional array (see claim 7 of Silverbrook

'053) and wherein the linear image sensor is an optical reader (see Beckett '502:

column 4, lines 8, 10-15; column 5, lines 45-48).

3.   Claims 3, 8, and 10 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type

double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 7 of U.S. Patent No. 7483053

to Silverbrook in view of XP-002353310, "VLIW Processor Architecture Adapted to

FPAs" to Petit et al further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5852502 to Beckett further in view

of U.S. Patent No. 5875034 to Shintani et al.

Regarding claim 3, claims 1 and 7 of U.S. Patent No. 7483053 to Silverbrook in

view of Petit et al '310 further in view of Beckett '502 does not disclose a device as

claimed in claim 1, wherein the printing mechanism includes an ink distribution

assembly that is mounted on the print head assembly to distribute ink to the print head chips.

Shintani et al '034 discloses a device as claimed in claim 1, wherein the printing mechanism includes an ink distribution assembly that is mounted on the print head assembly to distribute ink to the print head chips (column 18, lines 1-9, head 410 is pressed onto ink ribbon).

Having the system of **Silverbrook '053 in view of Petit et al '310 further in view of Beckett '502** and then given the well-established teaching of **Shintani et al '034**, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of **Petit et al '310** as taught by **Shintani et al '034**, since **Shintani et al '034** stated in col. 3, Lines 55-67; column 4, lines 1-2, such a modification would provide a camera with embedded printer for providing user specified mode of printing.

Regarding claim 8, Further Petit et al '310 discloses the device as claimed in Claim 7, wherein the one-chip microcontroller includes a program memory (Figure 1, the one-chip has **instruction (program)** and data memory), and the one-chip microcontroller is operable to write the program script to the program memory (page 129, fourth paragraph; instructions are stored in instruction memory) and Shintani et al '034 discloses further operable to run the program script from the program memory to define a software algorithm by which registers in the printhead interface are addressed to apply a desired effect to the pixel data (processor 100 is programmed to execute processing (column 13, lines 16-20); Figure 1, processor 100 interfaces the printing

section 111; column 13, lines 1-15; "desired print system" on column 13, line 11; column

13, lines 16-20, 56-61; One desired effect is multi-image effect which can print multi-

image. Column 19, lines 9-25; head unit contains registers 501, 502).

Regarding claim 10, Further Petit et al '310 discloses the device as claimed in

claim 8, wherein the VLIW processor receives pixel data from the image sensor,

converts the pixel data into an internal format, and writes the converted pixel data to the

DRAM memory interface (Introduction: seventh and eighth paragraph; ADC converts to

digital format for writing into register; Figure 1 shows data memory control unit which

interfaces with SLDRAM; page 131 paragraph before "SIMULATION RESULTS"

section.).

4.   Claims 9 and 11 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type

double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 7 of U.S. Patent No. 7483053

to Silverbrook in view of XP-002353310, "VLIW Processor Architecture Adapted to

FPAs" to Petit et al further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5852502 to Beckett further in view

of U.S. Patent No. 6094282 to Hoda et al.

Regarding claim 9, Petit et al '310 discloses a one-chip microcontroller and a

VLIW processor (Figure 1, shows one-chip microcontroller; see Abstract; Introduction

first paragraph; Figure 1, shows VLIW processor integrated on the one-chip;

Introduction sixth paragraph). However claims 1 and 7 of U.S. Patent No. 7483053 to

Silverbrook in view of Petit et al '310 further in view of Beckett '502 does not disclose

the device as claimed in claim 1, further including an output buffer, the output buffer effecting communication between the processor and the printhead interface.

Hoda et al '282 discloses an output buffer, the output buffer effecting communication between the processor and the printhead interface (Figure 5 shows processor 415 in communication with memory 418 (output buffer) which is connected to printhead unit 419; column 8, lines 24-42, 61-67).

Having the system of *Silverbrook '053 in view of Petit et al '310 further in view of Beckett '502* and then given the well-established teaching of *Hoda et al '282*, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of *Silverbrook '053 in view of Petit et al '310 further in view of Beckett '502* as taught by *Hoda et al '282*, since *Hoda et al '282* stated in col. 8, lines 37-42, 61-67, such a modification would provide the buffering of a line of printing data for the printhead system.

Regarding claim 11, Petit et al '310 discloses the VLIW processor (Figure 1, shows VLIW processor integrated on the one-chip; Introduction sixth paragraph). Further Hoda et al '282 discloses the device as claimed in claim 9, wherein the processor converts the pixel data to print image data, and writes the print image data to the output buffer (column 8, lines 20-34, 37-42; processor converts image data to print data using data from Table 417. the converted data is output to memory 418 (output buffer).).

Other Prior Art Cited

5.   The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent No. 5777755 to Aoki et al discloses imaging device.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to BENIYAM MENBERU whose telephone number is (571)

272-7465. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Moore can be reached on (571) 272-7437. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the customer service office whose telephone number

is (571) 272-2600. The group receptionist number for TC 2600 is (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov/>.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

Beniyam Menberu

/Beniyam Menberu/
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

02/28/2010

/David K Moore/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625